Mock Science Article: "Scientists Discover That Emotion Content And Thought Sequences Are Generated By Neurobiogenetics!"

By Kevin A. Sensenig Report 1.08 2020 January 10 – 2020 January 12

"Scientists Discover That Emotion Content And Thought Sequences Are Generated By Neurobiogenetics!"

– RPF* Journal

* In honor of Richard P. Feynman.

- By Galileonu Galileinianu, Posted 2020 January 10, 3:56 pm ET

- A team of research scientists at the University Of Alpha Centauri C has discovered that emotion content and thought sequences in humans are generated by their neurobiogenetics – that somehow encoded in the very structure, interconnect, and atoms of the neurons, biology, and genetic code are the ensuing emotions and thoughts that we all have. This discovery [the article goes] is a delight for psychiatrists. It proves their theory. It allows them to completely sidestep the mind. The only question is, how is it that the neurobiogenetics, in a state of malfunction, still generates emotion content and thought sequences? The theory would indicate that a malfunctioning system would produce gibberish, or random dots, not something that can be identified clearly as one thing or another, in somewhere nota-mind-but-brain-function – such as unusual beliefs, usual beliefs, a given percentage of usual beliefs, partly usual beliefs, partly usual beliefs except they are funny, partly unusual beliefs except they claim to be neither unreality nor reality, a belief in God, atheism, nontheism, ethical responses, frustration at a person, a normal emotion like corrective anger, an abnormal emotion like competitive anger (that may nevertheless have thought-points to it), another emotion and mental state like patient kindness, the ability to draw, the fascination to crawl on the walls as an adult person, the desiring to crawl on the walls as an adult spider, intelligence and sense and language and grammar in the mouths of mental entities, the ability to solve the Space Shuttle Challenger 'major malfunction', or any sort of reasoning ability, even to remember to eat one's dinner, or to want to know how to control one's thoughts and to comport oneself. Some question why the neurobiogenetics, being an objective space, do not clear up problems such as depression or bipolar disorder or schizophrenia by the function of objectivity; perhaps, it may in the future be hypothesized, that some type of strange reality 'the subjective' somehow makes it through so-called 'protospecialists' (see Minsky, "The Society Of Mind"), that then make the person unable to penetrate reality, and become ensnared in life's apparent "subjectively"-felt futility, or unable to sort out problems in an objective manner, relying not on the expensively-trained (and oh so honorable!) *clinical* objectivity of say certain of the model psychiatrists or scientists (at least, as reflected in their papers and studies); or ask questions on the existential, the social, or the

Mock Science Article: "Scientists Discover That Emotion Content And Thought Sequences Are Generated By Neurobiogenetics!" Page 1 of 5 societal; or seek to penetrate a matter with *accurate perception* (and is this objectivity, or subjectively done? Is this actual world taken into account?). Furthermore, the neurobiogenetic findings do not explain the evolutionary basis for so-called hallucinations – like something fabricated by the mind, or by a psychiatrist, or by a citizen, or in mind (like an idea), or like trying to grasp why your neighbor is a Republican, or is a Democrat, or is a Marxist, or is a not-quite-a-Marxist-but-might-be-perhaps-if-itwasn't-philosophic-materialism-and-treated-religion-differently-and-definitely-saw-the-state-as-thepeople-and-the-people-as-the-state-maybe-using-an-entity-agency-model-(see-Minsky,-"The-Society-Of-Mind")-but-finds-some-significant-critique, or is more interested in the Mandalorian, or is apolitical, or ignoring the question, or trying to grasp at something that is not impermanent and fixed (including impermanence – the present moves into the past, at the present moment, but can be part of an at-once-and-unfolding awakened state; and the future and present, and the past and present, and the present and past, mutually co-arising, one space), or in fact any thoughts at all, which some have likened to hallucinations (of the mind) in the first place. Some are interested in developing mathematics and physics – and does this represent the Pure Science, since we may be mathematicalphysical-creative; or is it also determinant of the mathematics and physics at the foundations of the universe itself? So psychiatrists who would be scientists have yet to answer these perplexing questions - with the goal of identifying the precise subset of the dangerously mentally ill - and all such are potentially dangerous – in society. (So this is the teleological as well.) One psychiatrist said that in spite of these challenges, the discovery is a sound one, and goes to show the basis for ensuing answers. One other scientist not part of the research has instead challenged the findings on the basis of the observation that there are these structual- or fluid- or combinatorial-virus-like entities called ideas or the noumenal that spread, somehow, like in conversation, or in teaching, or in preaching, or in study, or in art, or in science, forming vast cultures, and subsets of and exceptions to these, in the first place; and that these ideas and thought can be used to explain, orient within, or find dilemma within, the world; and take action or not, the fusion of the abstract and the concrete, just this real world (Nagarjuna, "Fundamental Wisdom Of The Middle Way"). Perhaps, this scientist suggests, there are "hidden dimensions" to the mind, as-yet untapped by the scientists involved in this discovery: things that we can be aware of like understanding, thought, ties of thought to speech and action, perception, senseprocessing, sensation \rightarrow perception \rightarrow synthesis/reason, idea formation, noumenal consideration and development, contemplation, meditation, nature, steel and concrete, thinking-and-enaction, and questions on consciousness and our perception of the external world. Yet a third scientist, also not on the discovery team, this one an expert in artificial intelligence, suggested that for humans, protospecialists must embody, in their structure and function, the ability to factor ideas and experiences, and to formulate conclusions, perception, and further enaction – thus leading to the disparate and sometimes consistent views and praxis across and within cultures. Thus, if neurobiogenetics leads to protospecialists, or protospecialists lead to neurobiogenetics, this should be taken into account. (For protospecialists, see Minsky, "The Society Of Mind".) Furthermore, this scientist says, while the university team may be right, he suggests that yet to be explained is how we work with this "structure, interconnect, and atoms" in our everyday, routine lives – in functioning in the first place, in learning from imprimers (see Minsky, "The Emotion Machine"), correcting mistakes, engaging in the world, and solving hard problems like building with blocks as children or mathematical discovery as adults. He suggests that psychiatrists look to that level of what he terms 'salient nature of abstraction/concrete', instead. The discovery team remains somewhat agnostic to the conclusions of this or that party; but they do point to the material as being the basis for our deterministic-andmathematical minds, likely organized like building architecture.

Mock Science Article: "Scientists Discover That Emotion Content And Thought Sequences Are Generated By Neurobiogenetics!" Page 2 of 5 – More to follow, on this exciting new trend.

(See following page....)

Update!

A followup to the article "Scientists Discover That Emotion Content And Thought Sequences Are Generated By Neurobiogenetics!".

– RPF Journal

– By Galileonu Galileinianu, Posted 2020 January 10, 9:35 PM ET

– A separate research team has already taken the research initially proposed, and built upon that. It postulates that our deterministic and mathematical neurobiogenetics – the foundations for our very emotions and thought sequences – 1) are part of us; 2) that we are modes in Spinozean axiomatic and postulate unfolding universe; and 3) that each of these neurobiogenetic expressions, nodes, connections, and firings are themselves infinite-objects, in the same Spinozean architecture. (<u>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ethics (Spinoza</u>)). Furthermore, they postulate that each of these modes and objects originate in early-universe blips of particles, which can be explained outside of time, much like the larger cosmological structures that physicists and cosmologists are finding in their research. (<u>https://www.quantamagazine.org/the-origin-of-time-bootstrapped-from-fundamental-symmetries-20191029/</u>). Thus, we-and-the-universe are one, consistent with ancient Zen findings. (For a comparison of Spinoza and Dogen – and a mention of Heidegger – see "A Study Of Dogen" by Masao Abe.)*

* Our advertisers made us put this here. It really is an illusion, this Zen-Spinoza-Dogen reference, yet at once neither one with Maya nor different than Maya.**

** This is another silly advertisement. In fact, it's just copy-and-paste from the script board. Those responsible for these silly advertisements (and the script board) have been sacked. And those who believe anything or have ascertained anything have also been sacked. Excluding the author of the above article, and all psychiatrists, and most scientists whose initials are NOT RPF, like Ron Not-Protocol Falafel.

Editor's Note: There is much interesting, quality, surprising math and science being done. See <u>https://www.quantamagazine.org/</u> for a dynamite online source, Quanta Magazine. Extraordinarily well-written articles on surprising topics, in a way that illuminates and resonates.

Editor's Note: An example of rigorous and ethical treatment of topics related to the visual display of information, and clear thinking when it comes to discovery, presentation, and subject matter, is Edward Tufte's work. He has a website at <u>https://www.edwardtufte.com/tufte/</u>. See his art and science notebooks, for example. His books are top-notch, and he introduces them on the website.